

**BELOW ARE THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS THAT WILL BE INCORPORATED IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS FOR 0301-0092-R1**

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

**REHABILITATION OF METRO-NORTH BRIDGE NO. 03948R OVER SOUND BEACH AVENUE AND BRIDGE NO. 03955R OVER TOMAC AVENUE  
(0301-0092)**

<b>Question Number:</b>	110	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/21/2014 4:05:19 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Jerry Traub
<b>Question:</b>	Reference CSI Specification Section 088000, page 16 of 17, Glazing Schedule: This schedule reads "All glazing shall be coated with a sacrificial 7 mil. anti-graffiti film". Will this anti-graffiti film be required on both sides of the glass?		
<b>Answer:</b>	4/7/2014 8:02:36 AM: See Notice to Bidders posted in Question #109 4/7/2014 8:01:53 AM: See Notice to Contractor posted in Question #109		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	4/7/2014 8:01:53 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	4/7/2014 8:02:36 AM

<b>Question Number:</b>	109	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/19/2014 1:10:00 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	As specified, are all the site excavations to be hauled, disposed and paid as LLAOEC material (other than your categorized A/C pavements and Subbase, which go to normal transfer stations) to locations as specified and listed under Item 0202315, Disposal of Controlled materials?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/21/2014 9:11:37 AM: NOTICE TO ALL BIDDERS - THIS IS THE LAST QUESTION TO BE ANSWERED.  See response to question 90.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/21/2014 9:11:37 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	108	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/19/2014 1:09:42 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Is all backfill material required on the site to be imported Previous Structure Backfill (other than lightweight fill and processed aggregate base where indicated) or can suitable trench excavation and structure excavation be utilized for backfill? If so, please indicate where and under what applications it can be utilized. On-site excavations do not meet the material specifications (gradations) for the backfill required on this job (trench backfills, retaining wall backfills). Therefore, are all the excavations surplus material requiring disposal?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/21/2014 9:07:59 AM: See response to question 90.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/21/2014 9:07:59 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	107	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/19/2014 1:09:09 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Can excavated material from the Underground Detention System be utilized as backfill anywhere?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/21/2014 9:07:19 AM: See response to question 90.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/21/2014 9:07:19 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	106	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/19/2014 1:08:52 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Can the Retaining wall structure excavation to subgrade be utilized as the backfill material to parking lot subgrade at the front face of the retaining wall?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/21/2014 9:06:41 AM: See response to question 90.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/21/2014 9:06:41 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	105	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/19/2014 1:08:18 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Please Reference Sheet 13.02, Drawing # TKO-00, Sheets 10.05 thru 10.10, Drawings RWL-4 thru RWL-9 and Q&A # 37. Drawings RWL-4 thru RWL-9 indicates the Construction Phasing Plan for the Retaining Wall and Parking Lot Improvements. Drawing # TKO-00 indicates the Retaining Wall and Parking Lot Improvements be completed in 5 months from NTP. Question 37 asked, "Is it required that the work be done in this given sequence?" Is it required that the work be done in this given 5 Month sequence or are the Retaining Wall and Parking Lot Improvements (which include the Contractors Staging Area) to be performed over the course of the Project concurrent with specified track outages?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/21/2014 9:05:04 AM: Phase I shown on Drawing RWL-4 (Sheet 10.05) provides the contractor staging area and is required to be completed during this 5 month sequence.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/21/2014 9:05:04 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	104	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/19/2014 8:04:35 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Waters Brunalli JV	<b>Contact Person:</b>	John M. Brunalli
<b>Question:</b>	Contract drawing 10.04, section A-A calls out cast-in-place stair MS-3 and precast stair PS-10 and cross-references the schedule on contract drawing SWS-4. The schedules on drawings SWS-4 and SWS-3 do not list MS-3 or PS-10. Please provide the details for MS-3 and PS-10.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/21/2014 9:03:31 AM: Drawings SWS-3 and SWS-4 will be revised to show MS-3 and PS-10. Revised drawing will be included in future addendum.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/21/2014 9:03:31 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	103	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/18/2014 5:16:52 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	There are existing overhead utility lines running along the west side of Sound Beach Avenue. These lines run underneath the existing MNR Bridge No. 03955R. The utility lines will obstruct the demolition of the existing concrete encased steel beams as well as obstruct the erection of the proposed steel girders. Suggested bridge demolition and erection plans show lifting performed underneath the structure with forklifts. The existing utility		

	lines would need to be relocated to accomplish the lifting from below the bridge. Please confirm that the existing overhead utility lines will be relocated by others to a location which will not be in conflict with bridge demolition and erection.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/21/2014 9:02:30 AM: See response to Question 95.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/21/2014 9:02:30 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	102	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/18/2014 5:16:13 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet 05.14, Drawing S-13 and Sheet 12.05, Drawing S-04. Is the restoration of pavement along the curbs at these locations under the bridges paid under Item 0406005, Pavement Replacement Permanent?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/21/2014 9:01:42 AM: Refer to Greenwich Standard Details drawing GSD-1, detail for Bituminous Concrete (HMA) on Concrete Pavement Reconstruction. Payment will be under respective items.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/21/2014 9:01:42 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	101	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/18/2014 3:46:37 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Waters Brunalli JV	<b>Contact Person:</b>	John M. Brunalli
<b>Question:</b>	Could you please provide a copy of the existing shop drawings for the bridge plates as described under the "Submittals" section on page 183 of the special provisions.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/21/2014 11:20:01 AM: Copies of shop drawings for bridge plates are available and shall be posted on the Bid Portal for this project. These shall be provided as information only and may not represent all plates available for use on this project or from the source of supply indicated on the shop drawings.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/21/2014 11:20:01 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	100	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/18/2014 2:52:08 PM
<b>Company</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky

<b>Name:</b>			
<b>Question:</b>	Payment Item 0708001 - Damproofing, is not shown on the drawings. Please define the locations where this item is to be utilized.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/21/2014 9:00:52 AM: Damproofing shall be applied to the surfaces of the reconstructed backwalls that will be in contact with earth.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/21/2014 9:00:52 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	99	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/18/2014 2:51:10 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Sheet 03.02, Drawing SPL-1 indicates that the existing stairs at the four corners on the MNR bridge over Sound Beach Avenue are to be removed and replaced. Two of the existing stairs are concrete and two are constructed out of wood. There is no item in the contract for the removal of these stairs. Please provide a pay item for the stair removals.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/20/2014 2:27:41 PM: Refer to Special Provision for Item #0201001A Clearing and Grubbing.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/20/2014 2:27:41 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	98	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/18/2014 2:50:40 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Sheet 10.06, Drawing RWL - 5 indicates an Area to be used for the Contractor's Staging Area in the upper parking lot (East Side). Will this area be available as the staging area for the duration of the Project including all Bridge Construction?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/20/2014 2:25:54 PM: Yes		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/20/2014 2:25:54 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	97	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/18/2014 2:10:19 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Waters Brunalli JV	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Jeff Polley

<b>Question:</b>	Looking at the existing site conditions in the areas of the staircases at Sound Beach Avenue, it appears that rock may be present at shallow elevations in this area. Currently, there are no pay items for rock excavation other than the rock in trench item. Please advise as to how rock excavation would be paid should this be encountered as part of the excavation for the new staircase foundations.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/20/2014 2:22:27 PM: The condition must meet the requirements under Item #0203000 "Structure Excavation (Complete)". Upon review of the foundation dimensions and the limits of excavation for this item, we do not expect the condition to be met.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/20/2014 2:22:27 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	96	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/18/2014 11:43:36 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Waters Brunalli JV	<b>Contact Person:</b>	John M. Brunalli
<b>Question:</b>	Referencing contract drawing 5.30, "Track Outage Details", it is our interpretation that Step 1 and Step 2 must be completed in one shift. Is this correct?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/20/2014 11:24:55 AM: The items of work to install the temporary ballast retainer shall occur during temporary Track 1 night outages. The work may occur over more than one outage, however the contractor shall give sufficient time within the allotted outage period to allow MNR Forces to restore all Track 1 ties undermined.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/20/2014 11:24:55 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	95	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/18/2014 11:43:16 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Waters Brunalli JV	<b>Contact Person:</b>	John M. Brunalli
<b>Question:</b>	There are utility wires passing under the existing bridge along the west abutment of Sound Beach Avenue Bridge. Will they be removed prior to construction as they conflict with the reconstruction of the abutment and maintaining pedestrian traffic?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/20/2014 11:11:39 AM: The affected utilities are responsible for relocating, removing and/or protecting their facilities if removal is not possible, prior to start of construction so as to allow for the work shown on the plans.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/20/2014 11:11:39 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	94	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/18/2014 11:42:59 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Waters Brunalli JV	<b>Contact Person:</b>	John M. Brunalli
<b>Question:</b>	Field conditions indicate that the existing adjacent platforms to remain at the north side of Sound Beach Avenue Bridge are bearing on the existing superstructure which is required to be removed. When it is removed, what provisions have been made to support the ends of the platforms at the bridge? How will this work be paid for?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/20/2014 11:02:25 AM: Existing platforms are to be temporarily shored. Payment for work will be made under Item #0601000A Class A Concrete.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/20/2014 11:02:25 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	93	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/18/2014 11:42:33 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Waters Brunalli JV	<b>Contact Person:</b>	John M. Brunalli
<b>Question:</b>	Contract drawing 7.03 depicts 54 lineal feet of new platform being installed against the existing platforms at each abutment. Contract drawing 5.25 depicts the removal of 54 feet of existing superstructure while showing the existing adjacent platforms to remain, approximately 6.5 feet away from each abutment (i.e., there is a 6.5 foot gap behind each abutment.). Is it CDOT's intention to have the contractor remove and replace this 6.5' gap in the platform to allow for backwall reconstruction and erection of the new 54' section? If so, how will the work be paid for?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/20/2014 11:01:50 AM: The platforms which exist east and west of the abutments shall remain.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/20/2014 11:01:50 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	92	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/17/2014 11:40:57 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Waters Brunalli JV	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kurt Troidle
<b>Question:</b>	In response to the answer for question 52 concerning payment for the detention system, specifications for the trench excavation bid item and bedding bid item do not apply to the excavation and stone backfill required for the system. Please review these specs and their applicability to the work to be performed for the system.		

<b>Answer:</b>	3/18/2014 3:25:21 PM: Excavation for the detention system shall be paid for under Item 0205003 - Trench Excavation 0'-10' Deep. Item 0651004 - "Crushed Stone Bedding Material" shall be used for backfill within and around the detention system as shown. Crushed stone bedding material shall be No. 6 stone as detailed in Articles M.08.03 and M.01.01. Under a future addendum the quantity for the Item 0651004 "Crushed Stone Bedding Material" shall be increased from 265 C.Y. to 465 C.Y.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/18/2014 3:25:21 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	91	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/17/2014 10:22:12 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Waters Brunalli JV	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kurt Troidle
<b>Question:</b>	Will the contractor be installing Bridge Plates at all three stations (CosCob, Riverside and Old Greenwich) or just Old Greenwich?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/18/2014 8:30:23 AM: The contractor will be required to install bridge plates at all three stations during those Stages of Contruction requiring them.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/18/2014 8:30:23 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	90	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/14/2014 4:42:42 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Reference "Notice to Contractor - Environmental Investigations", Specific Provisions p. 9: Nearly all of the excavated materials required on the Project (LLAOEC materials) are encountered within the limits of the parking lot. The vast majority of all the backfill materials are required behind the Retaining Wall within the Parking Lot. Those backfill materials are specified as Lightweight Fill behind the retaining Wall and Pervious Structure Backfill behind the Lightweight Fill to the proposed parking lot subgrade. Can the LLAOEC surplus excavated materials be utilized for Pervious Structure Backfill behind the Lightweight Fill in lieu of hauling off-site for disposal as LLAOEC material?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/19/2014 3:24:50 PM: This project is deemed a waste project requiring direct loading of all surplus excavated material due to limited space considerations, the need to minimize the impact to the railroad station and surrounding facilities and the fact that the establishment of a WSA meeting all requirements is not possible at the project site.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/19/2014 3:24:50 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	



<b>Question Number:</b>	89	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/14/2014 4:42:08 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Will answers to these questions be incorporated into the Contract language through a formal addendum or do the answers to these questions take precedence where Contract Notes may indicate otherwise?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/17/2014 3:30:23 PM: Please review the HOME section of this Q & A webpage and pay note to the "Important Notes" at the bottom, explaining how all questions and responses are to be handled.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/17/2014 3:30:23 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	88	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/14/2014 4:41:45 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Drawing RWL-2, Sheet 10.03 and C-02, Sheet 11.03: Plotting of the Retaining Wall alignment on the existing topography indicates a number of areas where the proposed retaining wall alignment cuts into the slope. On Sheet RWL-2, Details and Sections through the retaining wall fill section indicate "Temporary Sheet Piling" if required. Sheet piling is clearly required in the "cut" sections of retaining wall construction and is not clearly depicted. Can the CTDOT add an Item for Temporary Steel Sheet piling for areas the wall alignment is in Cut sections requiring sheet piling?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/17/2014 3:23:41 PM: Reference Special Provision Item #0506017A Section 5.06.05 Note 3 and Drawing RWL-1 (Sheet No. 10.02) Note #4. Temporary Sheet Piling shall be included in the Lump Sum Cost of the Retaining Wall.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/17/2014 3:23:41 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	87	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/14/2014 4:41:26 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	No Detail exists for Bid Item 0406005, Pavement Replacement Permanent. What are the Payment Limits for this Item with regard to the Width paid?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/17/2014 3:22:01 PM: Details for the pavement section for the item Pavement Replacement Permanent are shown on Sheet 11.14. Payment limits for drainage and utility items will conform to CTDOT standard details for trench		

	excavation. Payment limits for curb installation or widening will be from sawcut lines where shown on Sheet 11.05 to limit of HMA surface course.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/17/2014 3:22:01 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	86	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/14/2014 11:57:59 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Waters Construction Company, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Jeff Polley
<b>Question:</b>	In Section 1.03 of the supplemental specification "Award and Execution of the Contract" item #5 notes that the contractor as well as all of their subcontractors are required to carry Railroad Protective Liability Insurance. Section 1.03 of the special provisions, item #5 notes that the contractor shall carry Railroad Protective Liability Insurance for their operations and also the operations of their subcontractors. These sections are contradictory in that one states the contractor only needs to carry this insurance to cover all operations, and the other states that the contractor and each individual subcontractor is required to carry this insurance. Please clarify if only the contractor is required to carry Railroad Protective Liability Insurance, or if each individual subcontractor must also carry this insurance as well.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/17/2014 3:09:42 PM: There is no contradiction. Please review Section 1.05.04 "Coordination of Special Provisions, Plans, Supplemental Specifications and Standard Specifications and Other Contract Requirements" for the order of governance. Special Provisions always supercedes the Supplemental Specifications, therefore the requirement as set forth in the Special Provision Section 1.03 shall govern.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/17/2014 3:09:42 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	85	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 4:43:08 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Waters Construction Company, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Jeff Polley
<b>Question:</b>	The special provisions for the concrete stairs item (601108A) note that cast-in-place, precast, steel embeds, grouting, posts, etc. are included as part of the concrete stair item. However, there are 12" diameter foundations as well as a foundation block for the steel post support at stair no. 2 which are not noted as being incidental to the construction of the stairs. Please clarify how are the foundations for the new staircases to be paid as well as the pads below precast stair sections PS-7, PS-8, and PS-9.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/17/2014 3:20:31 PM: See response provided under Question #9.		
<b>Date</b>	3/17/2014 3:20:31 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Answered:</b>			
------------------	--	--	--

<b>Question Number:</b>	84	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 3:47:36 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Drawing S-30, Sheet No. 5.31, Simulated Stone Masonry Finish Detail, Will the drill and grout of the #4 hooked dowels for the simulated stone masonry be paid under item 0601201 - Class "F" Concrete or under item 0602936A - Drilling and Grouting Reinforcing Bars? Also under what item will the 4x4-2.9x2.9 WWF be paid?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/17/2014 3:19:47 PM: #4 hooked dowels and WWF will be paid for under Item #0601201 Class F Concrete.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/17/2014 3:19:47 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	83	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 2:34:56 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	The Brunalli Construction Company	<b>Contact Person:</b>	John M. Brunalli
<b>Question:</b>	The special provision 0601008A Concrete Form Liners calls for pattern #11261 to have a maximum relief of 4.625 inches. Contract drawing 05.31 depicts a relief of only 2 inches with an overall concrete thickness of 3.5 inches. This liner will not fit in the space allowed as depicted. Please clarify what the thickness requirement is for the Class F concrete on this drawing.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/17/2014 3:18:27 PM: 3.5" thickness shall be revised to 5" thick simulated stone masonry finish. Revised sheets will be issued under a future addendum.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/17/2014 3:18:27 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	82	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 12:23:07 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Drawings S-35, Sheet 05.36 and S-24, Sheet 12.25, Section 1. Please confirm the limits of the Ballast Mat will extend beneath the 8" half round steel drainage pipes and up along the full height of the curb plates. Also please confirm that the waterproofing system will have these same limits.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 4:21:40 PM: Correct, ballast mat will extend beneath the 8" half		

	round steel drainage pipes and up along the full height of the curb plates. Waterproofing system will also extend up along the full height of the curb plates to protect the exposed steel.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 4:21:40 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	81	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 12:22:50 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	No details for the excavation, backfill, and temporary soldier pile and lagging behind the reconstructed bridge seats for both bridges are provided in the plans. What are the limits of the excavation? What is the pay item for this excavation? What backfill material is required behind the new bridge seats? What are the limits of the backfill? What is the pay item for backfill? Please provide details for this work?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 4:20:17 PM: Refer to answer to question 61 for limits of excavation. Pay item for this excavation is Item #0203000 "Structure Excavation Earth (Complete)". Refer to answer to question 62 for backfill material and limits. Backfill material shall be paid for under their respective items.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 4:20:17 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	80	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 12:22:26 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Drawings S-39, Sheet 05.40 and S-29, Sheet 12.30. Detail 1 calls out Spray Applied Waterproofing Membrane on the back surface of new bridge seat. Is this waterproofing membrane to be paid under Item 0707013 - Railroad Deck Waterproofing or is it to be paid under Item 0708001 - Damproofing?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 4:18:06 PM: Waterproofing membrane is to be paid for under Item #0707013A "Railroad Deck Waterproofing".		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 4:18:06 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	79	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 12:22:05 PM
<b>Company</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky

<b>Name:</b>			
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Drawings C-06, Sheet 11.07 and C-13, Sheet 11.15. What is the size of the Water Quality Structure (WQS-1)? For the Drainage manholes CB's, please confirm we should use sizing depicted on the CTDOT Standard Sheets.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 4:16:47 PM: The size of WQS-1 is dependent on the manufacturer the contractor utilizes to meet the performance specification for Hydrodynamic Separators. Drainage manholes and catch basins shall conform to CTDOT standard details.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 4:16:47 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	78	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 12:21:47 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Drawing C-13, Sheet 11.15. Does the Class I or II Gravel Backfill material over the Detention System pipes extend to the underside of the 12" processed aggregate base in the parking area? If not, what material is required from the top of the Class I or II Gravel Backfill to the bottom of the 12" processed aggregate base?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 4:05:04 PM: Pervious structure backfill is required from the top of the Class I or II gravel backfill to the bottom of the 12" processed aggregate base.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 4:05:04 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	77	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 12:21:30 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Drawing C-02, Sheet 11.03. Is sawcutting bituminous concrete pavement paid under Item 0202529, Cut Bituminous Concrete Pavement or Item 0406005, Pavement Replacement Permanent?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 4:01:24 PM: Sawcutting required for installation of pavement in the areas noted in Special Provision 0202529A will be paid for under Item #0406005A - Pavement Replacement Permanent. All other sawcutting will be paid for under Item 0202529 - Cut Bituminous Concrete Pavement.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 4:01:24 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	76	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 12:21:14 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Drawing SWS-5, sheet 6.06, Detail 2, Detail 5 and Detail 6. Will the drill and grout of these reinforcing bars be paid under Item 0602936A - Drilling and Grouting Reinforcing Bars or Item 0601108A - Concrete Stairs?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 3:58:57 PM: Drilling and grouting for stair work will be paid for under Item 0601108A Concrete Stairs.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 3:58:57 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	75	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 12:21:00 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Drawings RW-6 and RW-7. Will Concrete Barrier Curb be required to separate the construction area from the Parking Lot for Phases 3 and 4? If not, what should be used to separate the construction area from the traveling public?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 3:54:36 PM: Notes 3 and 4 on drawings RWL-6 and RWL-7 indicate that work in these areas shall be subdivided and sequenced to maintain access to adjacent lots. It is the contractor's responsibility to maintain a safe working area.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 3:54:36 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	74	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 12:20:43 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Should the Contractors' designer for the Retaining Wall require the use of backfill material other than lightweight backfill in order to maintain the integrity of the wall design, under what item will the suitable backfill material required by the designer be paid?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 3:50:04 PM: Material shall be included in the Lump Sum cost of Item #0506017A.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 3:50:04 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	73	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 12:20:28 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Under what Item is the Excavation & Disposal required for "Item #406005A-Pavement Replacement Permanent" to be Paid?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 4:07:44 PM: Excavation and disposal required for Item #406005A - Pavement replacement permanent, where required for curb installation and pavement widening will be paid for under Item #0202001 - Earth Excavation. Excavation and disposal required for culvert and drainage structure installation will be paid for under Item #0205003 - Trench Excavation 0'-10' Deep. Excavation adjacent to the retaining wall required for installation of the retaining wall will be included in the Lump Sum cost of Item #0506017A Retaining Wall.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 4:07:44 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	72	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 12:20:14 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Special provisions 0601108-Concrete Stairs, Section 6.01.01 Description states, "Work under this item shall consist of furnishing and erecting cast in place and precast concrete stairs as shown on the plans for Concrete Stairs (NW-Stair No. 1), Concrete Stairs (NE-Stair No. 2), Concrete Stairs (SE-Stair No. 3), Concrete Stairs (SW-Stair No. 4), Concrete Stairs (Platform Access) and Concrete Stairs (Retaining Wall) including all materials, reinforcing, steel support posts, embedded angles, fasteners, anchors, equipment, tools, and labor incidental thereto." Contract drawing S-02, Sheet 5.03, shows a concrete distribution table which includes a quantity for cast in place stairs of 16 CY. The total quantity of the concrete distribution table is 150 CY which matches the bid quantity for 0601000A-Class A Concrete in Site No. 1 Bridge No.03948R on contract drawing EST-01, Sheet 1.02. Under which item are the cast in place concrete stairs are paid? Also, please clarify which item the foundations for the cast in place stairs are paid under.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 3:34:08 PM: Cast in place stairs shall be paid for under item No. 0601108A Concrete Stairs, as stated in the Special Provision. Refer to response to Question 9, for response to stair foundations.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 3:34:08 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	71	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 12:19:27 PM
-------------------------	----	--------------------	-----------------------

<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Joe Carsky
<b>Question:</b>	Contract Drawing RWL-3, sheet 10.04, shows a concrete wall supporting cast-in-place stairs MS-3 and precast stairs PS-10 in section A-A. In the "Detail of Concrete Wall" on this sheet a simulated stone finish is shown on the face of the wall. Please clarify which item the simulated stone finish is paid under.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 3:29:07 PM: Simulated Stone finish shall be paid for under Item No. 0601088A Concrete Form Liners.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 3:29:07 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	70	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 11:28:34 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Waters Construction Company, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Jeff Polley
<b>Question:</b>	In the CSI specifications for Glazing, there are two options noted for "architectural laminated glass." Option 1 is an Azuria colored glass while option 2 is clear glass. The specification nor the drawings note which of these options is to be utilized. Are both options acceptable and it is the contractors choice what to provide, or is one option required over the other? Please clarify.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 3:15:34 PM: Option 1 - use of Azuria colored glass is to be provided.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 3:15:34 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	69	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 11:22:54 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	Specification #0506017A - Retaining Wall, Section 5.06.05 states that the excavation required for the construction of the retaining wall is to be included in the lump sum price for the retaining wall. Standard Specification 2.02, Section 2.02.01 also includes excavation which is necessary for the construction of retaining walls. The bid quantity indicates that the intent is to pay for this excavation under bid item #0202000 - Earth Excavation. Please clarify.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 3:11:22 PM: Excavation required for the construction of the retaining wall is to be included in the lump sum price for retaining wall.		
<b>Date</b>	3/14/2014 3:11:22 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	



<b>Answered:</b>			
------------------	--	--	--

<b>Question Number:</b>	68	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/13/2014 10:32:50 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Clean Slate Environmental, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Beth Roccapiore
<b>Question:</b>	In response to Question #56: Item #0101133 - Disposal of Railroad Ties - Can Waste Management of New Hampshire and Ontario County Landfill be added Item #0202315 - Disposal of Controlled Materials - Can Southbridge Landfill be added		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/19/2014 1:57:28 PM: As per the request in question #68, Item #0101133 - Disposal of Railroad Ties was revised to include Waste Management of New Hampshire and Ontario County Landfill and Item #0202315 - Disposal of Controlled Materials was revised to include Southbridge Landfill. These revised specification will be issued under a future addendum.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/19/2014 1:57:28 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	67	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/12/2014 12:04:22 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Waters Construction Co Inc	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kurt Troidle
<b>Question:</b>	Please provide a specification for item #1020910 - Removal of Existing Equipment and Item #1050111 - Portable Variable Message Sign.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 4:11:00 PM: Regarding Item #1020910 Removal of Existing Equipment, this item shall be deleted from contract. Removal of existing equipment is included in other contract items.  Regarding Item #1050111 "Portable Variable Message Sign", this item shall be deleted. New Item #1131002A "Remote Controlled Changeable Message Sign", will be added to the contract. A Special Provision for Item #1131002A "Remote Controlled Changeable Message Sign", will be included in a future Addendum.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 4:11:00 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	66	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/11/2014 10:45:13 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kathleen Sage
<b>Question:</b>	Addendum No. 1, issued 3/10/14, references 6 plan sheets that need to be		

	<p>replaced. These plan sheets (below) were not included with the addendum. Will they be released as a separate attachment/addendum?</p> <p>PLANS - REVISED PLANS The following Plan Sheets are hereby deleted and replaced with the like-numbered Plan Sheets: 02.01.A1 05.03.A1 05.18.A1 05.37.A1 12.03.A1 12.15.A1</p>		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/11/2014 1:11:16 PM: The revised plan sheets are now posted on the State Contracting Portal.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/11/2014 1:11:16 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	65	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/11/2014 9:46:53 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	High Steel Structures, LLC	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Deborah Kupres
<b>Question:</b>	in Adm.#1 you deleted bid numbers 0603371A and 063372A Material for site 1 and 2. Does this mean you are including steel price in the site 1 and site 2 bid item numbers?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/12/2014 3:29:53 PM: Please review the July 2013 Supplements, under Section 6.03, refer to the Method of Measurement and Basis of Payments sections which now address this situation.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/12/2014 3:29:53 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	64	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/10/2014 7:32:36 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	<p>The answer to question number 7 indicates that the lightweight backfill behind the retaining wall will be paid for under bid item #0207150. The limits for lightweight depicted on the modular wall section of RWL-2 show lightweight fill up to, but not inside of the modular units. Specification Section 5.06.03-2f calls for pervious structure backfill to be placed inside the modular units. The basis of payment for the retaining wall states that the lump sum price for the retaining wall will include the pervious structure backfill. Please confirm if the pervious structure backfill within the modular units will be paid for under bid item #216000 or #506017A.</p>		

<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 3:03:10 PM: Refer to response to question 47. Lightweight fill shall be placed inside modular units.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 3:03:10 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	63	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/10/2014 7:28:02 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	Drawing S-18, Stage Section 1 depicts 2 slabs, above the concrete encased steel beams, acting as a ballast retainer, north of Track 3, and south of Track 4. Do these slabs contain additional steel?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 3:01:17 PM: It is anticipated that the slab contains steel reinforcing bars. Refer to question 57 for obtaining existing drawings.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 3:01:17 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	62	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/10/2014 7:27:20 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	Drawing S-10, Note 12, states that the contractor shall provide ballast to 4" below bottom of ties. Please provide the limits of ballast, sub-ballast, and pervious structure backfill for the excavation behind the abutments.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 2:54:40 PM: For clarification, the questioner's reference to Drawing S-10 should be Sheet 05.02.  Off the bridge deck, the Ballast depth shall be 10", Subballast depth shall be 8", Pervious structure backfill shall be balance of excavation. Refer to the plans for depths on the bridge decks.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 2:54:40 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	61	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/10/2014 7:26:45 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	Standard Specification Section 2.03.04-2 defines the horizontal pay limits for structure excavation to be 2 feet outside the neat lines of the original foundation unless otherwise noted on the plans. Drawing S-19 contains a		

	typical detail for Soldier Pile and Lagging to be installed well beyond the 2 foot pay limit for excavation. Please confirm the pay limits for structure excavation at the abutments.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 1:51:09 PM: The pay limits for the structure excavation of the abutments shall be as described in Section 2.03.04-2, 2 feet outside the neat lines of the foundation unless otherwise noted on the plans.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 1:51:09 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	60	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/10/2014 7:26:02 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	Drawing S-10, Note 10 states that the removal of ties and rails are to be paid for under the lump sum price for Removal of Superstructure. Drawing S-17 states that the ballast is replaced with temporary timber supports by MNRR. Please confirm that the contractor will be responsible for the removal of the rails and ties, and that MNRR will be responsible for the removal of the ballast.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 1:49:55 PM: For clarification, the questioner's first statement should have referred Sheet 5.02 General Note #10. The removal of ties and rail shall be performed by MNRR as appears on Sheet 5.30 Step #3, therefor, contractor's should not include the cost of that work under the Removal of Superstructure (Site #1).		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 1:49:55 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	59	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/10/2014 2:26:48 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Manafort Brothers Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Keith Calabro
<b>Question:</b>	The retaining wall is backfilled with lightweight fill in order to be constructed atop the foundation soils consisting of single blow count loose sands. Settlement of the wall should be expected, and this settlement may be differential along the length of wall. The interface between the parapet and top of wall is visible from the front face of wall. If the parapet is precast as indicated in the Curb and Parapet Detail on Sheet 10.04, how shall the top of the retaining wall be leveled before placing the precast parapets? Keep in mind the leveling will be visible.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 1:16:10 PM: The parapet shall be cast in place concrete.		

<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 1:16:10 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	
-----------------------	----------------------	-----------------------	--

<b>Question Number:</b>	58	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/10/2014 2:25:15 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Manafort Brothers Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Keith Calabro
<b>Question:</b>	1. The answer to question #6 indicates "The retaining wall parapet will be paid under item# 0601201 Class F concrete" and the answer to question #8 indicates "Simulated stone masonry and color staining for the parapet will be paid for under Item # 0601019A Chemically Stained Concrete and Item #0601088A Concrete Form Liners". However, the Curb and Parapet Detail on Sheet 10.04 labels the parapet as "PRECAST" and the moment slab as "CAST-IN-PLACE". Is the parapet required to be precast or cast-in-place?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 1:11:02 PM: The parapet shall be cast in place concrete.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 1:11:02 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	57	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/10/2014 10:15:35 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	ECCO III Enterprises, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kathleen Sage
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Drawing 05.02, Demolition and Erection, Note 7 states that "Prints of original drawings of the existing bridge may be obtained from CONNDOT". What is the procedure for acquiring these drawings? Can they be posted to the Contractor Resources web page or sent via FedEx?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/14/2014 3:00:05 PM: All available existing plans have been posted at the below weblink:  <a href="http://www.biznet.ct.gov/scp_search/BidDetail.aspx?CID=31237">http://www.biznet.ct.gov/scp_search/BidDetail.aspx?CID=31237</a>  Notice to Contractor - the existing plans have been provided FOR INFORMATION ONLY and may not represent the actual field conditions.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/14/2014 3:00:05 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	56	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/7/2014 10:36:21 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Clean Slate Environmental, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Beth Roccapriore
<b>Question:</b>	Can alternate CTDOT approved disposal facilities be used for Item #0101133		

	and 0202315?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/12/2014 8:49:03 AM: The specs contain facilities that were screened and found to be capable of accepting the waste stream. If there are any other facilities that are currently on DOT's approved list, capable of accepting the waste stream and not listed in the specs please provide their names. They will be screened and may be added to the specs if found to be capable of accepting the waste stream. Facilities not currently on the approved DOT list will not be considered.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/12/2014 8:49:03 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	55	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/7/2014 10:35:28 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Clean Slate Environmental, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Beth Roccapriore
<b>Question:</b>	Could you please provide the Task 210 Subsurface Site Investigation Report written by Tetra Tech Rizzo dated February 2009?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/12/2014 1:27:02 PM: <a href="http://www.biznet.ct.gov/scp_search/BidDetail.aspx?CID=31237">http://www.biznet.ct.gov/scp_search/BidDetail.aspx?CID=31237</a>  The report is available at the above weblink.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/12/2014 1:27:02 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	54	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/7/2014 9:27:55 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Plan Sheet No. 10.03 - Retaining Wall Sections and Details, Note #3: This note states "Lightweight Fill with a unit weight less than 65 PCF shall be used as backfill behind Retaining Wall. Minimum Limits of this Lightweight Fill shall extend 25 feet from back face of wall. Remaining fill shall be pervious structure backfill." Special Provision Item#506017A - Retaining Wall, Basis of Payment, includes "The furnishing, placing and compacting of pervious structure backfill within the payment lines". Due to the 25' lightweight limit set back, pervious structure backfill, if required, will most likely fall outside the payment limit lines. Will pervious structure backfill material, if required outside the payment limit lines of 0.8'H+2'0", be paid for under Item No. 216000A - Pervious Structure Backfill?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/12/2014 12:57:59 PM: Yes.		

<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/12/2014 12:57:59 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	--

<b>Question Number:</b>	53	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/7/2014 9:26:53 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet No. 10.04 - Retaining Wall Stair Sections and Details, Curb and Parapet Detail: Will the parapet moment slab be paid under Item #506017A - Retaining Wall or under Item #601201 - Class "F" Concrete?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/12/2014 12:51:17 PM: Parapet and moment slab will be paid for under Item #0601201 Class F Concrete.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/12/2014 12:51:17 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	52	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/6/2014 1:56:20 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Waters Construction Co Inc	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kurt Troidle
<b>Question:</b>	How is the contractor compensated for the detention system in the proposed parking lot?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/12/2014 12:50:04 PM: The underground detention is paid for under their separate respective items. Refer to Drawing C-13 (Sheet No. 11.15) for Detention System Detail.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/12/2014 12:50:04 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	51	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/5/2014 6:36:29 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	The curb and parapet detail on Sheet 10.04, Drawing RWL-3 shows a simulated stone masonry finish on the south face of the parapet. The specification for Item#0601088A - Concrete Form Liner, section 6.01.01, states that this item is applicable for the "the rear face of the parapet atop the retaining wall." The rear face of the parapet is assumed to be the north face. Please clarify if both sides of the parapet are to receive the simulated stone masonry finish and if either face is to be paid under item Item#0601088A.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/12/2014 11:35:23 AM: For clarification the term "rear" as used in the		

	specification refers only to the side of the parapet facing the lower parking lot which shall receive the simulated stone masonry finish and this also applies to the east and west return walls. The masonry stone finish on the parapet will be paid for under item #0601088A Concrete Form Liners.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/12/2014 11:35:23 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	50	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/5/2014 5:12:41 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Manafort Brothers Incorporated	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Chuck Mercier
<b>Question:</b>	Sheet No. 5.30-Step 3 shows a 1.5:1 slope for the ballast under track 4 adjacent to track 2. Section 1.05, Control of Work, from the Special Provisions page 32 (e) (4) states that the contractor shall not excavate any slope steeper than 1 (vertical) on 2 (horizontal) from the edge of the shoulder. Please confirm that drawing 5.30 is correct and that the 1.5:1 slope is acceptable and a temporary ballast retainer is not required between tracks 2 & 4.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/12/2014 9:04:56 AM: Sheet No. 5.30 is correct. A 1:1.5 slope is acceptable at this location. A temporary ballast retainer is not required at this location.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/12/2014 9:04:56 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	49	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/5/2014 4:57:10 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Manafort Brothers Incorporated	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Chuck Mercier
<b>Question:</b>	Item 0503151A - Removal of Superstructure, Basis of Payment states Disposal of lead based debris and chemical stripper residue shall be paid for under item "Disposal of Lead Debris". This project does not contain the item "Disposal of Lead Debris". Should the Removal of Superstructure Basis of Payment be corrected to reference Item 0020903A - Lead Compliance for Miscellaneous Exterior Tasks?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/6/2014 2:30:38 PM: A revised specification for Item 0503151A - Removal of Superstructure (Site #1) and Item 0503152A - Removal of Superstructure (Site #2) shall be issued under a future addendum to correct the reference made in error. It will correct the basis of payment to be made under Item 0020903A - Lead Compliance for Miscellaneous Exterior Tasks.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/6/2014 2:30:38 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	



<b>Question Number:</b>	48	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/5/2014 4:27:48 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Section 1.03 - Award and Execution of the Contract, pg. 23, (OCP) Revised Sub article 4. The Department is requesting the Contractor to delete "\$2,000,000", and replace with "\$3,000,000". This is not consistent with the January 2013 Supplemental Specifications which replaced Article 1.03.07 of the 816 Manual. Section 1.03 of the January 2013 Supplemental Specs requests the Contractor to provide aggregate OCP coverage of \$1,000,000 for a project whose value is less than 20 million. Please clarify the amount of OCP coverage required for this project.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/12/2014 10:10:32 AM: For clarification, you should be utilizing the July 2013 Supplemental Specifications as noted on Sheet 01.01 "General Notes" and on Page 5 of the contract.  Please review Section 1.05.04 of the Form 816A as to the order of governance for contract documents. The contract special provision shall govern.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/12/2014 10:10:32 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	47	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/4/2014 5:45:25 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	Note 3 on Drawing RWL-2 states that "minimum limits of this lightweight fill shall extend 25 feet from back surface of wall." Please provide more specific information regarding the point that the 25ft measurement should be taken from. For example, with a "T" wall, will the measurement be taken from the back side of the front face or the back of the stem? - Similarly, with a "Double Wall", will the measurement be taken from the back face of the front of the bin, or the back face of the rear of the bin? Additionally, since the width of the wall will taper toward the top, it is important to note if this measurement will be taken at the top of the wall or at the base of the wall.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/12/2014 8:58:00 AM: 25 foot dimension shall be taken from the front face of the retaining wall.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/12/2014 8:58:00 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	46	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/4/2014 2:03:41 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kevin O'Connell

<b>Question:</b>	Excavation for the Retaining Wall is included under the Lump Sum Item #0506017. The Notice to Contractor - Environmental Investigations deems the entire project to either be in an AOEC or LLAOEC. Will the disposal of all excess LLAOEC material generated from the construction of Item #506017A - Retaining Wall be paid for as part of the Lump Sum Retaining Wall item (#506017A)? Or will it be paid as unit price item under the Item No. 202315A - Disposal of Controlled Materials?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/6/2014 8:29:43 AM: All surplus LLAOEC will be disposed and paid for under Item No. 202315A - Disposal of Controlled Materials		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/6/2014 8:29:43 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	45	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/4/2014 2:03:06 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kevin O'Connell
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Item #0202315A Disposal of Controlled materials, the Special Provision states that controlled materials include Soil materials (excluding pavement, concrete, sub-base, structures, utilities and ledge/boulders). The Notice to Contractor - Environmental Investigations states that aside from the AOEC's, the remaining project area is deemed to be a "Low Level" Area of Environmental Concern (LLAOEC). Will the disposal of excess Sub-base removed from the parking lot be measured for payment under Item #0202315A? If the Department assumes it to be "clean" as the Notice to Contractor implies and thus it is the Contractor's responsibility to dispose of it as part of the Earth Excavation item, is the Department prepared to provide test results proving it does not classify as LLAOEC?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/6/2014 8:27:53 AM: Subbase is considered part of the pavement structure and will not be tested. Like asphalt, it is expected that the contractor will dispose of all surplus Subbase at a reclaim/recycle facility and will include that the cost in the bid.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/6/2014 8:27:53 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	44	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/4/2014 2:02:36 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kevin O'Connell
<b>Question:</b>	On Plan Sheet 05.42 the weight of "Concrete Encased Steel Stringers" is listed as 16.5 Kip per element and on Plan Sheet 05.43 the "Weight of 1 Concrete Encased Steel Beam" is shown as 13.0k. Which is the correct weight of the Concrete Encased Steel Stringers?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/12/2014 8:01:42 AM: 16.5 Kips. Drawing S-42 (Sheet No. 05.43) will be		

	revised and issued as part of a future Addendum.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/12/2014 8:01:42 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	43	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/4/2014 2:02:10 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kevin O'Connell
<b>Question:</b>	In order to adequately produce an estimate for the Removal of Superstructure of both Site 1 and Site 2, please provide a complete set of the existing bridge drawings?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/12/2014 7:46:23 AM: The information presented in the plans is all that is available.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/12/2014 7:46:23 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	42	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/4/2014 2:01:38 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kevin O'Connell
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Plan Sheet 13.02 - Track Outage Schedule: This sheet indicates Stage 1A - Track 4 out of service, Extend High Level Platform. This information conflicts with what is shown on Plan Sheet 05.29 - Construction Staging Sections, Plan Sheet 05.38 Suggested Bridge Erection I and Plan Sheet 05.43 - Suggested Bridge Demolition Plan. Can the Department please clarify when the High Rail Platforms are to be extended?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/11/2014 8:32:01 AM: High Level Platform is to be extended east of the existing high level platform in Stage 1A as indicated on Contract drawing TKO-00 (Sheet No. 13.02).		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/11/2014 8:32:01 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	41	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/4/2014 2:01:17 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kevin O'Connell
<b>Question:</b>	What are the "Limitation of Operations" for Sound Beach Ave, Tomac Ave and the Metro-North Parking Lot?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/11/2014 8:29:39 AM: See Addendum #1		

<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/11/2014 8:29:39 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	40	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/4/2014 2:00:57 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kevin O'Connell
<b>Question:</b>	Please provide a detail of the repair of Sound Beach Ave after the existing pier foundation is removed. Please indicate the pay items for this work?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/11/2014 8:28:29 AM: Refer to Greenwich Standard Details drawings GSD-1, detail for Bituminous Concrete (HMA) on Concrete Pavement Reconstruction. Payment will be under respective items.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/11/2014 8:28:29 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	39	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/4/2014 2:00:31 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kevin O'Connell
<b>Question:</b>	What are the removal limits of the existing pier foundation in Item #0503151A- Removal of Superstructure Site 1?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/11/2014 8:27:42 AM: Existing pier shall be removed in its entirety or a minimum of 3'-0" below the proposed finish roadway elevation, or as directed by the engineer.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/11/2014 8:27:42 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	38	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/4/2014 2:00:01 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Kevin O'Connell
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Plan Sheet No. 10.03 - Retaining Wall Sections and Details, Typical Cross Section Through Parking and Note #3: This note states "Lightweight Fill with a unit weight less than 65 PCF shall be used as backfill behind Retaining Wall. Minimum Limits of this Lightweight Fill shall extend 25 feet from back face of wall. Remaining fill shall be pervious structure backfill." Are the Lightweight Fill limits 25' back for the full height of the wall or can the Lightweight Fill step up to the existing grade after the minimum wall width requirement of 0.8*H+2'-0" has been satisfied?		

<b>Answer:</b>	3/11/2014 8:26:28 AM: Lightweight fill limits 25' back are for the full height of the wall		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/11/2014 8:26:28 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	37	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/4/2014 11:26:10 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	The Brunalli Construction Company	<b>Contact Person:</b>	John M. Brunalli
<b>Question:</b>	Contract drawing 13.02 shows the retaining wall and site improvements commencing upon Notice to Proceed and being complete prior to the start of stage construction of the bridges. Is it required that the work be done in this given sequence? If so, is there any provisions for expedited review of the working drawings for the MSE retaining wall since fabrication and construction of the wall will be an important driver of the schedule during this stage?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/10/2014 3:39:01 PM: Retaining wall and Site improvements are intended to provide contractor staging area as shown in Phase 1 plan, drawing RWL-4 (Sheet No. 10.05). Staged construction of the bridges is dependent on track outage as described in Contract drawing TKO-00 (Sheet No. 13.02). Consideration for expedited review of working drawings may be considered post award.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/10/2014 3:39:01 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	36	<b>Date Asked:</b>	3/4/2014 10:51:22 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	The Brunalli Construction Company	<b>Contact Person:</b>	John M. Brunalli
<b>Question:</b>	The suggested erection procedure for Track 2 and Track 1 on contract drawings 5.38 and 5.39 depict the girder in an initial position prior to launching. Assuming that the initial position as shown is the delivery location, what is the allowable access to get the 48,000 lb girder to this location and what is the suggested method of unloading? If the girder is unloaded days before the actual launch, will MNRR allow it to be stored next to a live track?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/10/2014 3:36:59 PM: The suggested erection procedure has been reviewed with MNR. Contractor is to coordinate with MNR.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/10/2014 3:36:59 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	35	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/28/2014 5:40:46 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	The platform sections depicted on PLE-06 show the stems to be spaced at 5ft on center. Several precast facilities have advised that this is an outdated detail, which they no longer have formwork for. The current formwork in stock has stems spaced at 6ft on center. Please confirm that stems spaced at 6ft on center will be acceptable.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/10/2014 2:18:59 PM: We have confirmed availability issues with the 5' spaced stem units. The plans will be revised to reflect platforms and foundations that use 6' spaced stem units. All affected sheets and contract item quantities will be adjusted accordingly and issued under a future Addendum.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/10/2014 2:18:59 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	34	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/28/2014 5:36:20 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	The typical sections on Drawing RWL-2 show the top of the moment slab to be 6" (Min) below subbase. Please confirm if this is a suggested or mandatory detail.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/10/2014 1:56:45 PM: See response to Question 32.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/10/2014 1:56:45 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	33	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/28/2014 5:32:30 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	The curb and parapet detail shown on drawing RWL-3 shows top bars only into the moment slab. Please confirm that this reinforcing scheme is adequate for tension created in the bottom of the moment slab by differential settlement and/or cyclic wind loads on the parapet and lamp posts.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/10/2014 1:56:21 PM: See response to Question 32.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/10/2014 1:56:21 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	32	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/28/2014 5:31:05 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	The height of the parapet depicted on drawing RWL-3 will vary from 7'-6" to 9'-6" and is partially backfilled and subjected to vehicular impact. What is the width dimension of the cast in place moment slab? Has the parapet and moment slab been analyzed for vehicular impact?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/10/2014 1:55:34 PM: Height of parapet is dependent on design of retaining wall. Width dimension is dependent on height of parapet and therefore to be designed by contractor.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/10/2014 1:55:34 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	31	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/28/2014 5:20:43 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	Is the stone finish required on the exterior faces of the lamp post pedestals (bump outs) shown on drawing RWL-3?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/10/2014 1:48:50 PM: No.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/10/2014 1:48:50 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	30	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/28/2014 5:20:05 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	There is no Bid Item for the Class "C" concrete referenced in the Greenwich Standard Details. Please confirm where this will be paid.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/10/2014 1:41:43 PM: Class "C" concrete will be paid for under the respective Items such as, but not limited to, Concrete Sidewalk, Concrete Curbing and Granite Curbing.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/10/2014 1:41:43 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	29	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/28/2014 5:19:47 PM
-------------------------	----	--------------------	----------------------

<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	Drawings RWL-1 and RWL-2 provide details for the retaining wall, and states: the contractor's designer shall modify each section for each specific site. It is not clear if the limits of lightweight backfill depicted on RWL-2 are suggested or mandatory. Please confirm that it is the Contractor's designer's responsibility to select suitable material(s) to meet the loading requirements.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/10/2014 1:40:11 PM: Refer to answer provided under Question 16 for lightweight requirements, additionally it is the Contractor's responsibility to select suitable material(s) to meet the loading requirements outside of the lightweight fill area.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/10/2014 1:40:11 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	28	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/28/2014 5:18:30 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	It is anticipated that NTP will be issued within 60 days of Award. Please confirm.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/10/2014 1:38:07 PM: <a href="http://www.ct.gov/dot/lib/dot/documents/dpublications/816/newver/_July13_Form_816.pdf">http://www.ct.gov/dot/lib/dot/documents/dpublications/816/newver/_July13_Form_816.pdf</a> At the above link, please review Section 1.03.08 "Notice to Proceed and Commencement of Work" as this is the relevant specification that shall be followed.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/10/2014 1:38:07 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	27	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/28/2014 5:07:57 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	Drawing PLE-02 calls for a detectable warning surface along the platform. Please confirm that the intent is to install this surface on existing and new sections.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/10/2014 12:59:59 PM: It is confirmed that the Detectable Warning Surface shall be installed on both existing and new platform sections.		



<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/10/2014 12:59:59 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	26	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/28/2014 5:06:13 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	Item 0971001A allows lane closures and roadway closures during the allowable period(s). The allowable period(s) are assumed to be "as needed" when the contractor is actively working. Please confirm.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/10/2014 12:58:11 PM: A revised Section 1.08 "Prosecution and Progress" specification is being issued as part of a future Addendum which adds the restrictions.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/10/2014 12:58:11 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	25	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/28/2014 5:04:19 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	Item 0202629A calls for a settlement monitoring program. Please clarify if this work is to be performed by a licensed land surveyor.		
<b>Answer:</b>	<p>3/10/2014 12:53:43 PM: Work under this item shall be performed by qualified engineering or surveying personnel. Qualified engineering personnel shall meet the qualifications as described in Item 0980001A "Construction Staking".</p> <p>The Special Provision for Item #0202629A Settlement Monitoring Program will be revised and issued as part of a future Addendum to reflect this requirement.</p>		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/10/2014 12:53:43 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	24	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/28/2014 4:49:04 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	Drawing S-22 contains a typical note to Remove Temporary Soldier Pile and Lagging. It is our experience that soldier piles are not to be removed within the live load influence line of the Railroad. Please confirm that lagging will be removed and soldier piles will be cut off below the surface		

	and abandoned in place.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/7/2014 3:31:09 PM: The intent is not to destabilize the track by the removal of the soldier piles. The lagging will be removed and the soldier piles will be cut off at the bottom of excavation or at least 4' below the bottom of railroad ties.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/7/2014 3:31:09 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	23	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/28/2014 4:48:09 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Kiewit Infrastructure Co.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Warren Howard
<b>Question:</b>	Drawing TKO-00 depicts the project schedule for continuous track outages. Please confirm if these track outage sequence and durations are suggested or mandatory. For example, if the contractor completes the work required for Stage 2, before the depicted completion of Stage 2, will the outage for Stage 3 be permitted to begin ahead of the start date depicted for Stage 3?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/7/2014 3:30:02 PM: The TKO sheets represent the suggested sequence and one that the railroad has committed to support and that the plans have been designed for. With regard to the above example, assuming all work in that stage has been completed, found acceptable to the engineer and with concurrence of the railroad, then the following stage would be allowed to begin ahead of the contractor's submitted and approved schedule as required by the contract.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/7/2014 3:30:02 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	22	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:52:29 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Plan Sheet No. 10.03 - Retaining Wall Sections and Details: The sections on this drawing show a 6"Dia. Structure Underdrain behind the Retaining Wall. Will this 6" Dia. Structure Underdrain be paid for under the Lump Sum Item #0506017A - Retaining Wall or by the Lineal Foot under Item #0751821A - 6" Structure Underdrain?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/7/2014 2:20:20 PM: -The 6" Dia. Structure Underdrain will be paid for under Item #0751821A 6" Structure Underdrain.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/7/2014 2:20:20 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	21	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:52:12 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Item #0406005A - Pavement Replacement Permanent: Please indicate on the plans exactly where this item will apply as the operations included per the Special Provisions all have individual pay items in the bid (#0202000 Earth Excavation; #0202529 Cut Bituminous Pavement; #0205003 Trench Excavation 0-10'; #0304002 Processed Aggregate Base; #0406170 HMA S1; #0406171 HMA S0.5; #0406172A HMA S0.375; #0406236 Material for Tack Coat).		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/7/2014 2:19:22 PM: -Item #0406005A Pavement Replacement Permanent will apply at all "Full Depth Bituminous Concrete Pavement" areas in the lower parking lot (areas south and east of the Retaining wall), as shown on Drawing No. C-04 (Sheet No. 11.05), and as explained in Special Provision for Item #0406005A Pavement Replacement Permanent.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/7/2014 2:19:22 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	20	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:51:52 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Item #0601108A Concrete Stairs: Is the Lump Sum item to include any excavation required for construction of the new CIP/Precast Stairs and sonotubes/foundations or will it be measured for payment elsewhere?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/7/2014 2:17:27 PM: Excavation for new stairs and foundations shall be paid for under Item #0203000 Structure Excavation Earth (Complete).		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/7/2014 2:17:27 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	19	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:51:34 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Under what item(s) is the excavation of the ballast/subballast at each of the bridge deck removals to be paid for? Is the ballast/subballast to be considered contaminated regardless of whether it falls in an AOEC?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/11/2014 8:25:07 AM: The excavation of the ballast/subballast will be paid for under Item #0203000 Structure Excavation Earth (Complete).  All surplus ballast/subballast will be disposed and paid for under Item No.		

	202315A - Disposal of Controlled Materials.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/11/2014 8:25:07 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	18	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:51:17 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Item #0090061A - Erection/Removal Cycle Bridge Plates: Method of Measurement states that the cost for snow removal of the Bridge Plates will not be measured separately and is to be included under this item. Due to the fact that the bridge plates are located at 3 different stations and the number of snow storms carry drastically vary season to season, the responsibility/liability for snow removal should remain with the railroad forces that are maintaining each station already. Please consider revising the Special Provision to exclude snow removal for the bridge plates.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/7/2014 11:55:42 AM: This specification will remain as is.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/7/2014 11:55:42 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	17	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:50:54 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet No. 11.04 - Site Layout Plan and Note No. 3 on Sheet No. 10.03: Note No. 3 on Sheet No. 10.03 states "Minimum limits of this Lightweight Fill shall extend 25 feet from the back face of Wall." If the limit of Lightweight Fill is extended 25' in back of the Retaining Wall in the area of the existing electric transformers, it will extend under the existing transformers and in affect create a conflict the existing transformers. Please address this area of conflict and provide details as to the extent of the lightweight fill in this area and any temporary support required for the existing transformers as well as for the adjacent Tower Foundation for Tower #1256.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/7/2014 11:53:11 AM: The design intent is not to undermine the existing transformer. The 25-foot minimum requirement for Lightweight Fill applies to the fill section only.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/7/2014 11:53:11 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question</b>	16	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:50:37 AM
-----------------	----	--------------------	-----------------------

<b>Number:</b>			
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet No. 10.03 - Retaining Wall Details, Note No.3: This note states "Minimum limits of this Lightweight Fill shall extend 25 feet from the back face of Wall." Does the 25 foot minimum requirement apply when the proposed retaining wall is in both a fill and a cut section? Or only when the retaining wall is in a fill section?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/7/2014 11:21:46 AM: -The 25-foot minimum requirement for Lightweight Fill applies to the fill section only.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/7/2014 11:21:46 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	15	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:50:21 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet No. 10.01 - Retaining Wall Stairs Sections and Details, Section A-A: This section shows a "Proposed Concrete Wall" under the stair landing. Will this concrete wall be paid under Item #0506017A - Retaining Wall (LS), Item #601108A - Concrete Stairs (LS) or Item #601201 - Class "F" Concrete (CY)?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/7/2014 11:20:46 AM: -Concrete wall at retaining wall stair will be paid for under Item #0601000A Class A Concrete.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/7/2014 11:20:46 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	14	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:49:58 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet No. 11.04 - Site Layout Plan: This plan shows an existing Electric Transformer just to the south of Tower #1256. Is this Electric Transformer to remain? If it is to remain, please provide details (depth, length & width) of its existing foundation.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/6/2014 3:29:59 PM: Yes, Electric Transformer is to remain. The Department does not have the requested information. Transformer foundation dimensions should be requested from the owner of the facility which is Northeast Utilities.		
<b>Date</b>	3/6/2014 3:29:59 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Answered:</b>			
------------------	--	--	--

<b>Question Number:</b>	13	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:49:40 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet No. 10.02 - Retaining Wall Plan and Elevation, Plan View: This plan view shows existing Tower #1256. Is this Tower to Remain? If it is to remain, please provide the depth and diameter of its existing foundation.		
<b>Answer:</b>	<p>3/12/2014 1:59:17 PM: The Department has obtained and it will be posted to the link below:</p> <p><a href="http://www.biznet.ct.gov/scp_search/BidDetail.aspx?CID=31237">http://www.biznet.ct.gov/scp_search/BidDetail.aspx?CID=31237</a></p> <p>3/6/2014 3:28:06 PM: Yes, Tower #1256 is to remain. The Department is not in possession of the requested information. Tower foundation dimensions should be requested from the owner of the facility which is recorded as Northeast Utilities.</p>		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/6/2014 3:28:06 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	3/12/2014 1:59:17 PM

<b>Question Number:</b>	12	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:49:24 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet No. 10.02 - Retaining Wall Plan and Elevation, Plan View: In two (2) locations on this plan view it states "Existing OH Utilities will be relocated by others prior to commencement of work on Retaining Wall". In two (2) other locations on this Plan View it states "Transmission Lines to remain". These four (4) locations are generally pointing to the same overhead utilities. Please specify which overhead utilities are to remain and which ones will be relocated prior to commencement of work on the Retaining Wall.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/6/2014 3:20:04 PM: -Drawing No. RWL-1 (Sheet No. 10.02) will be revised to clarify overhead lines to remain and to be relocated. Revised Drawing No. RWL-1 will be issued as part of a future Addendum.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/6/2014 3:20:04 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	11	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:48:51 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner

<b>Name:</b>			
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet No. 10.04 - Retaining Wall Stair Sections and Details: Section A-A indicates Handrail (1 ½" Dia. SCH40 Pipe for the upper portion of the stairs. Please provide a detail for this handrail.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/6/2014 3:18:24 PM: -Drawing No. SWS-6 will be revised to show detail for handrail at upper portion of retaining wall stair. Revised Drawing No. SWS-6 will be issued as part of Addendum No. 2.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/6/2014 3:18:24 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	10	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:48:35 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet No. 10.04 - Retaining Wall Stair Sections and Details: Section A-A indicates railing RS-11 for the lower portion of stairs, however, no RS-11 appears on the Railing and Handrail Schedule on Drawing SWS-6. Please clarify.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/6/2014 9:10:13 AM: -Drawing No. SWS-6 will be revised to indicate geometry of RS-11. Revised Drawing No. SWS-6 will be issued as part of a future Addendum.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/6/2014 9:10:13 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	9	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:48:16 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet No. 06.06 - Access Stairways and Details: Details 1, 2 and 5 show the Sonotube Foundations and foundations on rock. Are these foundations to be included under the Lump Sum Item #0601108A Concrete Stairs or will they be measured for payment under either the Class A or Class F Concrete pay items?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/6/2014 9:09:16 AM: -Foundations including sonotube foundations will be included under Item #0601108A Concrete Stairs. A revised Special Provision for Item #0601108A will be issued as part of a future Addendum.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/6/2014 9:09:16 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	8	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:47:53 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet No. 10.03, Note 2: This note states that the Cost of the Simulated Stone Masonry Finish and Color Staining will be included in the lump sum cost of Item #0506017A - Retaining Wall. The Proposal Form provides a separate payment for both of these items. Will the Simulated Stone Masonry Finish and Color Staining be paid under Item #0506017A - Retaining Wall or under Item #0601019A - Chemically Stained Concrete and 0601088A - Concrete Form Liners?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/6/2014 9:08:05 AM: -Simulated Stone Masonry Finish and Color Staining for the retaining wall, will be paid under Item #0506017A Retaining Wall, as stated on Sheet No. 10.03, Note 2. Simulated Stone Masonry and Color Ctaining for the parapet will be paid for under item #0601019A Chemically Stained Concrete and item #0601088A Concrete Form Liners.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/6/2014 9:08:05 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	7	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:47:33 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet No. 10.03 - Retaining Wall Sections and Details, Typical Sections: The Retaining Wall Lump Sum Limit Line shown on these sections indicates the Lightweight Fill as being part of the Lump Sum Payment. Item # 0207150 on the Proposal Form provides a separate payment for Lightweight Fill. Will the Lightweight Fill be paid under the Item #0506017A - Retaining Wall or Under Item # 0207150 - Lightweight Fill?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/6/2014 9:06:59 AM: -The Lightweight Fill will be paid for under Item #0207150 Lightweight Fill.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/6/2014 9:06:59 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	6	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:47:12 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet No. 05.02, Concrete Notes, Note #8: This note indicates that Class F Concrete is to be used at the Retaining Wall Parapet. The Retaining Wall Lump Sum Pay Limit Line shown on Sheet 10.03 includes the Parapet as part of the Lump Sum Payment. Will the Retaining Wall parapet be paid under Item #0506017A - Retaining Wall or will it be paid under Item #0601201 -		



	Class F Concrete?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/6/2014 9:06:19 AM: -The retaining wall parapet will be paid under Item #0601201 Class F Concrete.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/6/2014 9:06:19 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	5	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:46:53 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	Reference Sheet No. 12.29 - Temporary Stringers, Plan, Sections and Details: This plan shows a Temporary Superstructure for Site 2. The Proposal does not contain a pay item for this work, nor is there a Special Provision for this work. Will the Department please provide a pay item and Special Provision for this work?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/6/2014 9:05:41 AM: -Item #0603882A Temporary Support System (Site No. 2) will be added to the Project. A Special Provision will be provided as part of a future addendum.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/6/2014 9:05:41 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	4	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/25/2014 11:46:29 AM
<b>Company Name:</b>	O&G Industries, Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Wendy Wagner
<b>Question:</b>	The Plan View on Sheet No. 05.34 - Framing Plan, shows the Facia Girder Web plate dimension to be 41"; Sections C-C and D-D on Sheet No. 05.37 - Suggested Bridge Erection Plan, show the Facia Girder Web plate dimension to be 39". Which dimension is correct?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/6/2014 9:04:20 AM: -Sections C-C and D-D have been revised per Addendum No. 1; Web plate dimension for sections C-C and D-D is 1"x41".		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/6/2014 9:04:20 AM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	3	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/21/2014 2:26:08 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	Manafort Brothers Inc.	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Keith Calabro

<b>Question:</b>	Please check the quantity for Item 0969064 - Construction Field Office Large - 48 Months. This seems excessive considering the contract duration is 1069 calendar days with no winter shut down which comes to 36 months. Please advise.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/4/2014 3:26:27 PM: The quantity is being reduced under Addendum #1 to 42 months. This will allow for the field inspection staff to remain in the field office for sufficient time to close out the project.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/4/2014 3:26:27 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	2	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/21/2014 1:58:47 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	High Steel Structures LLC	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Deb Kupres
<b>Question:</b>	On bridge 03948R sheet S-36 on section C and D you show flanges to be 1'6" x 2 1/2" put indicate you want 24" x 3 1/2" and a 39" deep web. Which flange size is correct?		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/4/2014 3:20:43 PM: The correct flanges size for this section is 1'-6" x 1 1/2". A corrected sheet will be issued under Addendum #1		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/4/2014 3:20:43 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	

<b>Question Number:</b>	1	<b>Date Asked:</b>	2/21/2014 1:58:28 PM
<b>Company Name:</b>	High Steel Structures LLC	<b>Contact Person:</b>	Deb Kupres
<b>Question:</b>	On sheet S-36 section D you indicate 18" wide flanges but a 11 1/4" wide bearing stiffener. This will not work if flange is 18" wide.		
<b>Answer:</b>	3/4/2014 3:19:28 PM: The flange stiffener should be 8 1/4" wide. A corrected sheet will be issued under Addendum #1.		
<b>Date Answered:</b>	3/4/2014 3:19:28 PM	<b>Date Modified:</b>	